



Paper No. 6

TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED
P.O. BOX 655474, M.S. 3999
DALLAS TX 75265

COPY MAILED

MAR 01 2004

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

ON PETITION

In re Application of
Richard W. Arnold et al.
Application No. 09/845,344
Filed: May 1, 2001
Attorney Docket Number: TI-27698.1

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b),¹ filed February 20, 2004, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned July 22, 2001, for failure to timely reply to the Notice to File Corrected Application Papers mailed on May 21, 2001, which set a two (2) month shortened period for reply. No extensions of the time for reply in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Accordingly, a Notice of Abandonment was mailed February 6, 2004.

Receipt of the substitute drawings submitted to comply with 37 CFR 1.84 is acknowledged.

The statement contained in the instant petition does not set forth that the entire delay from the due date of the required reply to the date of the filing of a grantable petition was unintentional as required by 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3). However, the statement contained in the instant petition is being so construed. Petitioner **must** notify the Office if this is not a correct interpretation.

The application is being forwarded to the Office of Initial Patent Examination for further processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter should be directed to the undersigned Petitions Attorney at (703) 305-4497.


Patricia Faison-Ball
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

¹Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A **grantable** petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) **must** be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continued examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee.

(2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

(4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).